On the (In)Security of IDEA in Various Hashing Modes

<u>Lei Wei</u>¹, Thomas Peyrin¹, Przemysław Sokołowski², San Ling¹, Josef Pieprzyk², and Huaxiong Wang¹

> ¹Division of Mathematical Sciences, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

> > ²Macquarie University, Australia

FSE 2012

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Overview of attacks to IDEA hashing modes

	hash	compression function			hash function
Mode	output	free-start	semi-free-start	preimage attack	collision
	size	collision attack	collision attack	complexity (s, p)	attack
Davies-Meyer	64	2 ¹		$2^{25.5} (2^{17.5}, 2^{-17.5})$	2 ^{16.13}
Hirose	128	2 ¹		2 ^{25.5} (1, 2 ⁻⁶⁴)	
Abreast-DM	128	2 ^{48.13}		2 ^{25.5} (1, 2 ⁻⁶⁴)	
Tandem-DM	128	2 ^{48.13}		2 ^{25.5} (1, 2 ⁻⁶⁴)	
Peyrin et al.(II)*	128	2 ¹ / 2 ^{48.13}	2 ¹ / 2 ^{48.13}	2 ^{25.5} (1, 2 ⁻⁶⁴)	
MJH-Double	128	2 ^{32.26}	2 ^{32.26}	2 ^{25.5} (2 ^{17.5} , 2 ^{-17.5})	

- The results are directly supported by experiments. Practical examples are computed for some of these attacks.
- The preimage complexity results find *s* preimages on average with a certain probability *p*, for a total average of $A = s \cdot p$ solutions.
- The attacks to Peyrin *et al.* (II) mode are valid only if the block cipher instances are used in certain ways.

Outline

- IDEA hashing modes
- Simple collision attacks
- Improved collision attacks

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Preimage attacks

Using IDEA For Block Cipher Based Hashing

Hash Functions from Merkle-Damgård Algorithm

An *n*-bit hash function with *IV* and *m* message blocks M_i

- uses *n*-bit compression function *h* as building block,
- ▶ processes M_i as $CV_{i+1} = h(CV_i, M_i)$, with $CV_0 := IV$,
- The final hash value is $H_m := CV_m$.

Collision security can be reduced to the compression function.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Using IDEA For Block Cipher Based Hashing

Attacks

- ► free-start collision: in less than $2^{n/2}$ computations, find $(CV, M) \neq (CV', M')$ s.t. h(CV, M) = h(CV', M').
- ▶ semi-free-start collision: in less than $2^{n/2}$ computations, find *CV* and $M \neq M'$ s.t. h(CV, M) = h(CV, M').
- ► preimage: in less than 2^n computations, find CV and M s.t. for a given output challange X: h(CV, M) = X.

n-bit block cipher \rightarrow *n*-bit compression function:

 Simple-length constructions: e.g. Davies-Meyer (DM), Miyaguchi-Preneel (MP), Matyas-Meyer-Oseas (MMO).

Using IDEA For Block Cipher Based Hashing

Block Cipher Based Hashing

IDEA the International Data Encryption Algorithm, designed by Xuejia Lai and James Massey in 1991.

- 64-bit block size, 128-bit key.
- Receives extensive cryptanalysis and is regarded as a very secure block cipher.

Double-block length (DBL) constructions: *n*-bit block ciphers of 2*n*-bit key.

- Bigger hash sizes by making use of double-key block ciphers: e.g. IDEA, AES-256.
- DBL Constructions: Hirose DBL mode, Peyrin et al. (II), MJH-Double.
- Abreast-DM and Tandem-DM were initially proposed for hashing with IDEA.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Using IDEA For Block Cipher Based Hashing

The DBL Modes: Abreast-DM and Tandem-DM

Both are especially designed for IDEA, by Lai and Massey (Eurocrypt'92).



Figure: Abreast-DM

Figure: Tandem-DM

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ● ●

Using IDEA For Block Cipher Based Hashing

The DBL Modes: Hirose



- Proposed by Shoichi Hirose (ICISC'04, FSE'06).
- Using a constant c to simulate two independent ciphers.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Using IDEA For Block Cipher Based Hashing

The DBL Modes: Peyrin et al. (II)

Proposed by Peyrin, Gilbert, Muller and Robshaw (Asiacrypt'06).



5 independent 3*n*-to-*n*-bit compression functions are called, advising to be instantiated with double-key block ciphers such as AES-256 and IDEA.

Using IDEA For Block Cipher Based Hashing

The DBL Modes: MJH-Double

Proposed by Lee and Stam (CT-RSA'11).



f is an involution with no fixed point and g ≠ 0, 1 is a constant.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Using IDEA For Block Cipher Based Hashing

IDEA Round Function



- 64-bit block, 128-bit key.
- Three operations: \boxplus , \oplus and \odot .
- ▶ $a \boxplus b := (a + b) \mod 2^{16}$.
- $a \odot b := (a \cdot b) \mod (2^{16} + 1),$ $2^{16} \text{ as } 0.$
- With KA, MA, S, we have $C = KA \circ S \circ \{S \circ MA \circ KA\}^{8}(P).$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

Properties of the Null-key in IDEA

Primitive Operations

When 0x0000 is mixed as subkey, \boxplus can be removed. For mixing with $\odot,$ since

$$(a \odot 0) \mod 2^{16} = ((a \cdot 2^{16}) \mod (2^{16} + 1)) \mod 2^{16}$$

= $(((a \cdot 2^{16} + a) + (2^{16} + 1) - a) \mod (2^{16} + 1)) \mod 2^{16}$
= $(0 + 2^{16} + 1 - a) \mod 2^{16} = 1 - a \mod 2^{16}$
= $2 + (2^{16} - 1 - a) \mod 2^{16} = (2 + \overline{a}) \mod 2^{16}$

and $\overline{a} = 0$ *xffff* \oplus *a*, the diffusion is one way. There are many high probability differentials of the type $\delta \mapsto \delta$, for $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{16}}$. E.g., $0x8000 \mapsto 0x8000$ with prob. 1.

Simple Collision Attacks

The idea has been used by Daemen *et al.* (CRYPTO'93). When IDEA is keyed by the null-key, let $\Delta_{MSB} := (\delta_{MSB}, \delta_{MSB}, \delta_{MSB}, \delta_{MSB})$ where $\delta_{MSB} = 0x8000$, then we have a differential of probability 1:

$$\Delta_{MSB} \xrightarrow{\text{IDEA}_{K=0}} \Delta_{MSB}.$$

- The differential immediately allows free-start collisions on IDEA in Davies-Meyer mode, by setting M = 0.
- Free-start collisions as well for Hirose mode by setting M = 0 and CV2 = 0.
- Peyrin et al. (II) mode can be attacked if there is at least one X ∈ {CV1, CV2, M1, M2} s.t. X is not used as key inputs in the 5 IDEA instances.
- Abreast-DM, Tandem-DM and MJH-Double cannot be attacked since null-key cannot be used on both instances.
- The differential probability remains close to 1 even if other higher bits in δ_{MSB} are active.
- Considering a collection of differentials in the form of $\Delta \mapsto \Delta$ where $\Delta = (\delta, \delta, \delta, \delta)$, we found the almost half-involution property.

Simple Collision Attacks

Almost Half-involution

We show a special property of the null key (as a result, all subkeys are 0x0000).

$$C = KA_0 \circ S \circ \{S \circ MA_0 \circ KA_0\}^8(P)$$

= KA_0 \circ S \circ \{S \circ MA_0 \circ KA_0\}^3 \circ S \circ MA_0 \circ KA_0 \circ \{S \circ MA_0 \circ KA_0\}^4(P)
= KA_0 \circ MA_0 \circ \{S \circ KA_0 \circ MA_0\}^3 \circ \{MA_0 \circ S\}^3 \circ MA_0 \circ KA_0\}^2(P)
$$= \frac{KA_0 \circ MA_0 \circ \{S \circ KA_0 \circ MA_0\}^3}{\sigma^{-1}} \circ \frac{KA_0 \circ S}{\theta} \circ \frac{\{MA_0 \circ KA_0 \circ S\}^3 \circ MA_0 \circ KA_0\}^4(P)}{\sigma}$$

If we write the encryption as $P \xleftarrow{\sigma} U \xrightarrow{\theta} V \xrightarrow{\sigma} C$, then the *almost half-involution* property can be state as: for a pair of null-key encryptions that start from random plaintexts, $Pr[\Delta P = \Delta C]$ is around $2^{-16.26} \cdot 2^{-16}$.

・ロト・西ト・山田・山田・山下

- Improved Collision Attacks

The First Application

The almost half-involution property helps to find hash function collision of IDEA in Davies-Meyer mode by canceling ΔC with ΔP with the feed-forward.

We use two blocks M_0 and M_1 , force $M_1 = 0$ to be the null-key block and randomize M_0 . Hash collision can be found with around 2^{16.13} distinct message blocks of M_0 .

This property also helps in finding improved results on the DBL hashing modes except Hirose mode.

Free-start Collisions for Abreast-DM and Tandem-DM

The idea is to force the null-key on one branch.



- Set CV1 = 0 and M = 0.
- Build 2^{48.13} distinct *CV*2.
- Check for collisions.

- The probability that a pair leads to a collision on the first (top) branch is 2^{-32.26}.
- The probability that a pair leads to a collision on the second branch is 2⁻⁶⁴.

Semi-free-start Collision Attack on MJH-Double

The attacker may force the null-key for both branches.



- ► Set CV2 = 0 and M2 = 0.
- CV1 can be fixed as a challenge.
- Build 2^{32.26} distinct M1.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Check for collisions.

Preimage Attacks

Null-keyed IDEA as T-function

Used with a null-key, IDEA is a T-function (or triangular function), for which any output bit at position *i* depends only on the input bits of position *i* or lower.

- The primitive functions \boxplus and \oplus are both 16-bit T-functions.
- ► The modular multiplication ⊙ is used only for subkey mixing. It is a T-function when the subkey is 0x0000.
- ▶ When IDEA uses the null-key, all the subkeys are 0x0000 and the encryption is a T-function.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• One can now search preimages by guessing the input words layer by layer.

- Preimage Attacks

Preimage Attack

We denote by

- p the probability that given a random challenge, the attack algorithm outputs a preimage for this challenge.
- s the average number of preimage solutions that the algorithm will output, given at least one is found.
- A the average number of preimage solutions for each challenge. Then A = p ⋅ s.

A generic attack restricted to *C* computations can generate $A = C \cdot 2^{-n}$ preimage solutions on average. We can thus consider that a preimage attack is found if we show an algorithm that outperforms this generic complexity.

Preimage Attack to IDEA in Davies-Meyer Mode

- Implemented as a recursive depth-first-search, from LSB to MSB of the four 16-bit state words.
- Wrong candidates are discarded as early as possible.
- We have A = 1 since the preimage space and image space are equal in size.
- We measure with 2^{32} random challenges that $p = 2^{-17.50}$.
- We can thus deduce that $s = A/p = 2^{17.5}$.
- For each of the 16 layers, 2⁴ candidates are tried. Therefore, the total computations C to find s preimage solutions is bounded by 16 · 2⁴ · s = 2^{25.5}.
- A generic attack algorithm with $C = 2^{25.5}$ can only generate about $A = 2^{-38.5}$ solutions.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Preimage Attacks to DBL Modes

In the Hirose mode, we reuse the preimage attack to Davies-Meyer mode on one of the branches.



- ► Set CV2 = 0 and M = 0.
- Find preimage on the first (top) branch with a probability of 2^{-17.50}.
- Use the $2^{17.5}$ solutions to match the second branch, with a probability of $2^{17.5-64} = 2^{-46.5}$.
- ► The attack has $A = 2^{-64}$ (since $p = 2^{-64}$ and s = 1) hence outperforms the generic attack with $A = 2^{-102.5}$.

Abreast-DM and Tandem-DM can be attacked similarly.

Preimage Attacks to DBL Modes: Peyrin et al. (II)



If all of CV1, CV2, M1 and M2 appears in at least one IDEA key inputs in f_1 , f_2 , f_3 and at least one in f_3 , f_4 , f_5 , then the attack cannot be applied. Otherwise, it can be attacked similarly to the Hirose case.

Preimage Attacks

Preimage Attacks to DBL Modes: MJH-Double



- Set CV2 = 0 and M2 = 0. Find a preimage with $p = 2^{-17.5}$ for the bottom branch.
- The value of $M1 \oplus CV1$ is determined for this preimage.
- For each of the s = 2^{17.5} preimages, *M*1 can be
 computed accordingly to make the top branch work as well.
- The attack has A = 1 and the generic attack has $A = 2^{-102.5}$ given that $C = 2^{25.5}$.

Conclusions

- Most of the constructions we considered are conjectured or proved to be secure in the ideal cipher model.
- Some ciphers, such as IDEA, have weak keys. Even a single weak key can be used to attack the block cipher based constructions.
- Our results indicate that one has to be cautious when hashing with a block cipher that presents any kind of non-ideal property (such as one or several weak keys) when the key is known or controlled by an attacker.
- Do not use IDEA for hashing purposes.

Conclusions

Q & A

Thank you !

