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## SHA-3 competition

The SHA-3 hash function competition:

- started in October 2008, 64 submissions
- 51 candidates accepted for the first round
- 14 semi-finalists selected in 2009
- finalists to be selected end 2010
- winner to be announced in 2012

Among the 14 semi-finalists, one can identify 4 AES-based candidates. For example ECHO and Grøstl.

## What is an AES-like permutation?



## MixColumns ○ ShiftRows o SubBytes $\circ$ AddConstant (C)

- AddConstant: in known-key model, just add a round-dependent constant (breaks natural symmetry of the three other functions)
- SubBytes: application of a c-bit Sbox (only non-linear part)
- ShiftRows: rotate column position of all cells in a row, according to its row position
- MixColumns: linear diffusion layer.


## Hash function collision attacks

In general, there are two basic tools in order to find a collision: the differential path building technique and the freedom degree utilization method.

The differential path building techniques (for SHA-1):

- local collisions
- linear perturbation mask
- non-linear parts

The freedom degree utilization methods (for SHA-1):

- neutral bits
- message modifications
- boomerang trails


## Hash function collision attacks

In general, there are two basic tools in order to find a collision: the differential path building technique and the freedom degree utilization method.

The differential path building techniques (for AES-based):

- truncated differential paths

The freedom degree utilization methods (for AES-based):

- rebound attacks
- multiple-inbound attacks
- start-from-the-middle attacks
- super-Sbox attacks

In this talk, we will mostly focus on how to find good differential paths for ECHO and Grøstl

## The Super-Sbox method

In general, the Super-Sbox method seem to be more powerful than classical rebound or start-from-the-middle attacks.

It allows to control 3 rounds in the middle (controlled rounds): a valid pair can be found with one operation on average and a minimal cost of $2^{r \cdot c}$.


The rest is fulfilled probabilistically (uncontrolled rounds). In our example, we have twice a probability $2^{-8 \times 3}=2^{-24}$ to pay.
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## Previous attacks

Previous attacks focused on the internal permutation only, because the complexities were already very high.


For this 7-round trail, one can find a valid pair with $2^{128 \times 3}=2^{384}$ computations on average ... but with a minimal cost of $2^{512}$ because of the super-Sbox method.

## Improved differential paths for ECHO

## Increase the granularity of the path:

| F | C | D | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\#$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| $\#$ | $\square$ | $\#$ |  |

Force all intra-word differences to be of the same type


Problem: this path has an average complexity of $2^{96}$ comp. per solution, but we still have to pay the huge $2^{512}$ minimal cost of the Super-Sbox method anyway.

Idea: improve the Super-Sbox technique for this particular differential path: $2^{32}$ comp. and memory for one solution in the controlled round.

## Results for ECHO

| target | rounds | computational <br> complexity | memory <br> requirements | type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ECHO-256 | $3 / 8$ | $2^{64}$ | $2^{32}$ | $2^{32}$ |
| comp. function | $3 / 8$ | $2^{96}$ | $2^{32}$ | semi-free-start collision |
| sestart collision* |  |  |  |  |
| distinguisher |  |  |  |  |

* because of a lack of freedom degrees, these attacks requires some randomization on the salt. Thus they are applicable in the chosen-salt setting only
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## Grøstl compression function



## Round $i$ of permutations $P$ and $Q$ :



MixColumns $\circ$ ShiftRows $\circ$ SubBytes $\circ$ AddConstant $(C)$

## The internal differential attack

Problem: all previous attacks build classical differential paths for the permutation $P$ and $Q$ (allows to reach $8 / 10$ rounds)

Idea: look at the difference between the two parallel branches It works well on Grøstl because $P$ and $Q$ are almost identical (only the constant addition differs)


Let $A$ and $B$ be s.t. $A \oplus B=\Delta_{I N}$ and $Q(A) \oplus P(B)=\Delta_{\text {OUT }}$
We have $h(H, M)=\Delta_{\text {IN }} \oplus \Delta_{\text {OUT }}$

What can we do with such a pair $A$ and $B$ ?

- Distinguishing attack:
- assume $\Delta_{\text {IN }}$ is maintained in a set of $x$ elements
- assume $\Delta_{\text {OUt }}$ is maintained in a set of $y$ elements
- thus $h(H, M)$ is maintained in a set of $k=x \cdot y$ elements
- we can distinguish the Grøstl compression function from an ideal one: such pair $(H, M)$ can be generically obtained with $2^{n} / k$ computations
- one can also distinguish the permutations $P$ and $Q$ from ideal permutations (see "limited birthday distinguishers" in [Gilbert Peyrin FSE 2010])
- Collision attack:
- because of a lack of freedom degrees, no improvement for the compression function attacks
- but we can attack $5 / 10$ rounds of the hash function



## An example with 9 rounds:

- we have
- $x=2^{56}$
- $y=2^{128}$
- $k=2^{184}$
- thus the generic complexity is $2^{512-184}=2^{328}$ operations
- we can find a valid candidate with only $2^{80}$ computations and $2^{64}$ memory
- the amount of freedom degrees only allows us to compute one such candidate, but generalization of the internal differential attack gives additional freedom degrees


## Results for Grøstl

| target | rounds | computational complexity | memory requirements | type | section |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grøstl-256 <br> internal perm. | $\begin{gathered} 9 / 10 \\ 10 / 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2^{80} \\ 2^{192} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{64} \\ & 2^{64} \end{aligned}$ | distinguisher distinguisher | new <br> new |
| Grøstl-512 internal perm. | 11/14 | $2^{640}$ | $2^{64}$ | distinguisher | new |
| Grøstl-256 comp. function | $\begin{gathered} 8 / 10 \\ 9 / 10 \\ 10 / 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2^{112} \\ 2^{80} \\ 2^{192} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{64} \\ & 2^{64} \\ & 2^{64} \end{aligned}$ | distinguisher distinguisher* distinguisher* | [Gilbert Peyrin 2009] <br> new <br> new |
| Grøstl-512 comp. function | 11/14 | $2^{640}$ | $2^{64}$ | distinguisher* | new |
| Grøstl-256 <br> hash function | $\begin{aligned} & 4 / 10 \\ & 5 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{64} \\ & 2^{79} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{64} \\ & 2^{64} \end{aligned}$ | collision collision | [Mendel et al. 2010] new |
| Grøstl-512 <br> hash function | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 / 14 \\ & 6 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{176} \\ & 2^{177} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{64} \\ & 2^{64} \end{aligned}$ | collision collision | [Mendel et al. 2010] new |

* for these distinguishers, the amount of available freedom degrees allows us to generate only one valid candidate with good probability
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## Results and future works

Our results:

- first attacks on reduced versions of the ECHO compression function
- distinguishing attack against full Grøstl-256 compression function or internal permutations


## Future works:

- find better differential paths for ECHO ([Schläffer - SAC 2010])
- derive collision attacks for the Grøstl hash function with internal differential paths ([Ideguchi et al. - eprint 2010])
- try to apply internal differential attack to other schemes

Be careful when designing a scheme: also check the differential paths between the internal branches

